

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. DYN002US 10/694,557 10/27/2003 Majid S. Delpassand 2429 32656 7590 11/01/2004 EXAMINER W-H ENERGY SERVICES, INC. VRABLIK, JOHN J 10370 RICHMOND AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 990 HOUSTON, TX 77042 3748

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,557	DELPASSAND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Vrablik	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	August 2004.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31,40,41 and 43	is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-39 and 42</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u>-</u> _	na anianitu undan 25 H C O C	440(-) (-) (0	
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (t).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) ☐ Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	-,		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

In applicant's response filed August 13, 2004 to the restriction requirement, an election was made of Group II and the species shown in Fig. 3 within Group II.

Applicant further stated that at least claims 16, 32 and 42 are generic and claims 16, 17, 20-25, 32-39 and 42 read on the elected species. However, claim 16 is not generic because it recites a replaceable progressing cavity insert having an outer surface sized and shaped for removable receipt within a cylindrical tube which does not read on the elected species of Fig. 3. Therefore, dependent claims 17 and 20-25 also do not read on the elected species. An examination on the merits of claims 32-39 and 42 which do read on the elected species of Fig. 3 is given below.

Claims 1-31, 40, 41 and 43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 13, 2004.

Claim Objections

Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim should end with a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/694,557

Art Unit: 3748

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Wood et al. (Wood) (Figs. 1 and 2) or Zeitvogel (Figs. 2 and 3) in view of Turner (Fig. 7). Each of the primary references discloses a progressing cavity stator comprising a fiber reinforced composite component (22, 24 in Embodiment A in Wood, and 2 in Zeitvogel) providing an internal helical cavity, the fiber reinforced composite component having an internal surface, the internal surface having at least one helical groove provided thereon; an elastomeric liner (26 in Embodiment A in Wood, and 1 in Zeitvogel) disposed on the internal surface; and the fiber reinforced composite component including a plurality of fibers disposed in a matrix material. The claims differ from the primary references by reciting that the plurality of fibers are disposed such that distinct portions thereof follow correspondingly distinct directions. Turner teaches forming the fibers into braids (40) which follow distinct directions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to dispose the plurality of fibers in either

Art Unit: 3748

Wood or Zeitvogel into braids that follow distinct directions, as taught by Turner, to strengthen the composite component.

Claims 38, 39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood in view of Turner. Wood further discloses that the progressing cavity stator is employed in a downhole drilling motor as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Vrablik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2629, after November 22, 2004 the number will be (571) 272-4869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623, after November 22, 2004 the number will be (571) 272-4869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Vrablik **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3748